

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,580	01/18/2005	Tomonori Fujisawa	IPA-005	4028	
32628 KANESAKA	7590 05/22/200 BERNER AND PART	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1700 DIAGONAL RD			RECEK,	RECEK, JASON D	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRI	A. VA 22314-2848	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, manual de la contraction de	11, 111 22011 2010	2142			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/521,580	FUJISAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON RECEK	2142		
UNIOUNTEDER	2172		

	JASON RECEK	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F W. 07 OFD 44 07	man and a second	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a contraction.</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number or finally reje	cted claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12     Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			•
non-allowable claim(s).  No for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) for how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 5 and 9, they were rejected in the final office action dated 2/4/08. No new limitations have been introduced that would render them patentable over the cited art. Thus, the claims are still obvious in view of the combination of Orime and Hasebe. See final rejection pgs. 3 and 6-7 for a more detailed explanation.